•	Application No.			Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	erv	09/386,057		RUFFIN ET AL.	
	y	Examiner		Art Unit	·
		Richard Woo		3639	
All Participants:		Status of Applicati	ion:		
(1) Richard Woo.		(3)			
(2) Lawrence D. Cutter (Reg. No. 28,501).		(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>17 June 2005</u>		Time: <u>4:00 p.m.</u>			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:		ant's representative)			·
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:		. ·			
Claims discussed: 15					
Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENE	RAL NATURE OF WHA	AT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se directly resulted in the allowance of the applicat of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	tion. The parate i	e examiner will provide record of the substance	e a writte	in summary of the	ie substance
		·			
	-				
(Function (ODF 0)					
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant	/Applicant's Represent	ative Sig	nature – if appro	priate)

Application No. 09/386,057

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Cutter provided the clear definition regarding "cost associated with the migration" in Claim, wherein the cost is directed to the financial (\$) cost not in technical terms (i.e. MegaByte/sec). Further, Mr. Cutter would submit the terminal disclaimer being associated with U.S. Pat. No. 6,249,769.